

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

FRED RUNYON PLAINTIFF
V.
FNN LEE, Nashua Police Detective et,al
Defendant

No 12-cv-290-PB

FORMAL REQUEST FOR LEAVE OF THE COURT TO AMEND PLAINTIFFS
MOTION FOR APPOINTED ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL

NOW COMES PRO-SE PLAINTIFF FRED RUNYON with Formal Request
that the Court shall grant leave for Pro-Se Plaintiff Fred
Runyon to Amend his Formal Request that the Court shall Appoint
Appropriate Assistance of Counsel and says.

(1) Defendants Counsel, one Brian J.S. Cullen, has submitted
Defendants objection to Plaintiffs Motion for Relief and/or to
Amend.

(2) This said Counsel Cullens said objection clearly in and of
itself function to Prove that Plaintiff is in desperate need that
this Court grant Plaintiffs Formal Request that Appropriate
Assistance of Counsel be Appointed.

(3) This where it is clear/certain that without Assistance of
Counsel Plaintiff shall in fact and in accordance with Law be
deprived of First Amendment Right to Meaningful access to this
Court to redress in effort to garmer just and righteous redress
See Bounds v. Smith 430 US 817 at 828.

(4) this when Plaintiff is clearly up against a culture that has
failed to satisfy the cause of reason and righteousness statute
to thissadly festering matter of No New Hampshire Policeman has
been Prosecuted for perjury since 1947.

And when,do advise has a New Hampshire presecutor been
presecuted for Criminal perpetrating a Fruad in this Court?/
Relative to this to see long festering matter of the Judicially
aided and abetted Criminal cover up of this All despositive Brady
material answer evidence in this State of New Hampshire.

(5)It is clearly obvious,as is, when justly viewed in this matter
42 USC 1983 matter,that Plaintiff is up against it (it) being
obvios and rampant malignant societal Prejudice)with or without
Appropriate Assistance of Counsel.

(6)If the Court sanctions,as Counsel Cullen adviosly begs at
his here at issuses Objection,recourse that serves in De facto
manner to place policeman Lee,et,al above the Law relative to
the Severe Battering said policemen Administered to Plaintiff
Fred Runyon,what effect is this to be expected to have police
and presecutor thinking? This where if Jury Decides Plaintiff
justly complains about Defendants Behavior,question necessarily
pose as to Why is it Defendants Assualt Behavior is clearly
perpetrated Under Color Of Law!?

(7)Is Counsel Cullen's Assistance to Defendants funded by Tax
Payers?

(8)Mr.Cullen's Defense to now appears to be to Attack Pro-Se
Plaintiff's alleged failures to comply with process requirements.

(9)Plaintiff reminds it is Compelled by Law that the Court
Liberably construe Plaintiff's work that seeks no more than
righteously just Redress.

(10)Has not set for the GroundMeans?

(11)This District Court would hold a hearing where Plaintiff
would call a witness for purpose to farther appraise this Court
regarding need for Appointed Counsel.

Wherefore Pro-Se Plaintiff Fred Runyon Formal Request that the Court shall grant Leave to Amend Formal Request that the Court shall Order the Appointment of Appropriate Assistance of Counsel for Good reason and for cause as is persented at the Body of this Formal Request for said Leave.

Becky A. Harding
Notary Public

RECKY A. HARDING, Notary Public
My Commission Expires 2/2/2016

Respectfully Submitted

Fred Runyon
Fred Runyon 86769

N.H.S.P P.O.BOX 14
Concord, N.H. 03302-

00

Fred Runyon swears under penalt of perjury that the Facts presented to this Formal Request for leave to Amend are True as Fred Runyon knows truth to be and copy is provided as is indicated.

Respectfully

Fred Runyon

Certificate Of Service

I, Do Hereby Certify That All The Information upon This Document is True, Mailed on April 11th, 2013.